

## REMARKS

New Figures 1a-1f are filed herewith in response to the Examiner's objection to the original Figures.

The Examiner has objected to claims 15-17 as being in improper dependent form. Claim 15 has been amended to place it in independent form and claims 16 and 17 made dependent thereon. Accordingly reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.


The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-12, and 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Stammitz. Independent claims 1, 8, 14, and 15 have been amended to state that the holding member contains a temperature sensing means. Nowhere in Stammitz is there any suggestion, much less disclosure of a temperature sensing means in a holding member, therefore Stammitz does not anticipate applicant's present claims. Accordingly reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is earnestly requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 8-10 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Smith. Claim 8, upon which claims 9, 10 and 12 depend as presently amended state that a temperature sensing means is contained within a holding member. There is no mention, much less disclosure in Smith of a temperature sensing means contained within a holding member, therefore Smith does not anticipate applicant's present claims. Accordingly reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is earnestly requested.

The Examiner has rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Stammitz in view of the Engineering Design Guide. As presently presented claim 5 contains the limitations of independent claim 1 wherein a temperature sensing means is contained within a holding member. As stated above Stammitz does not disclose or suggest such a construction and the combination of Stammitz and the Engineering Design Guide does not disclose or suggest such a construction, therefore this combination of references does not render claim 5 unpatentable. Accordingly reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is earnestly solicited.

In view of the above amendments and remarks reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of Applicant's claims and an indication of allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Herbert M. Hanegan  
Reg. No. 25,682  
Attorney for Applicant

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP  
Suite 3100, Promenade II  
1230 Peachtree Street, NE  
Atlanta, GA 30309-3592  
(404) 815-3664